

8. REPLICATION, RANDOMIZATION, BALANCING, AND BLOCKING IN EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Some details

EXPERIECING WITH ONE SOURCE OF DESIRED VARIATION

O.1 One factor

One factor

0.1.1

Two treatments

Randomized [Incomplete Block] Designs

O.1.1.1
Any participating
subject
uses
one treatment,
whatever the level of replication might be.

Randomized [incomplete block] design. **Completely Randomized Design**

O.1.1.1 .1
Additionally,
all subjects
work on a
common objects.

Randomized [incomplete block] design. **Completely Randomized Design**

For one factor, two treatments:

Basic experiment O.1.1.1 .1

Two subjects are involved, each
working the **same object**,
&& using a **different treatment**.

$o = O_1; (o, S_1, T_1), (o, S_2, T_2)$

The mapping of subjects and treatments is randomized



Randomized [incomplete block] design. **Completely Randomized Design**

Experiment with replications

All the experiment subjects are using the **same object**,
&& **each** subject will be using **just one treatment**.

This is a kind of boundary case too.

Subjects will be randomly assigned to the treatments under study. If we have the same number of subjects per treatment, the study is balanced.

$o=O_1$; (o,S1, T1), (o,S2, T1), (o,S3, T2), (o,S4, T1) ... (o,S10, T1)

The mapping among subjects and treatments is randomized and is possibly balanced



Randomized [incomplete block] design.
Completely Randomized Design
(with replications)

2 treatments, 2+8 subjects, 1 object

O

S	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	S ₅	S ₆	S ₇	S ₈	S ₉	S ₁₀
A	T1	T1	T2	T2	T1	T2	T2	T2	T1	T1

Randomized [incomplete block] design. **Simple Randomized Designs**

O.1.1.1 .2

**More than one objects are used, but
an object is worked by one subject only,
whatever the level of replication might be.**

Randomized [incomplete block] design. Simple Randomized Design

Basic experiment

1 object per treatment, and 1 subject per object:

(O1, T1, S1), (O2, T2, S2)

In the basic experiment, it is empty the intersection between any pair of elementary experiments

The mapping Treatments-Objects, and the further mapping with Subjects are both randomized
(1 factor 2 alternatives)

O, S	O1, S1	O2, S2
T	T1	T2

with ...

Replications

Randomized [incomplete block] design. Simple Randomized Design ...

One treatment per some objects, one subject per object:

$S_{i=1,2...n \geq 2}$; (O1, T1), (O3, T2), (O2, T2), (O4, T2) ... (O10, T1)

The mapping 1 to 1 of objects and subject is randomized. The mapping of objects and treatments is randomized and hopefully balanced
(1 factor 2 treatments)

O	O1	O2	O3	O4	O5	O6	O7	O8	O9	O ₁₀
S	s1	s2	s10	s9	s4	s8	s3	s5	s6	s7
A	T1	T2	T2	T2	T1	T2	T1	T2	T1	T1

Subjects (S) do change when passing from an object to another one; each subject uses just one object (O) and one treatment (T).

Randomized Complete Block Design

O.1.1.2

Any subject uses all the treatments.

The advantage of this design technique is to see the difference in performing the treatments involved by the same subject.

However, learning effect is expected to be low.

Pair comparison

Pair comparison is the name given to Randomized Complete Block Design when there is one factor with two treatments.

O.1.1.2.1

Pair randomized design: Basic experiment
(S, O, T1), (S, O, T2)

O	O	
	T1	T2
A(S)		

Pair comparison with Replications

O.1.1.2.1

(S1, O1, T1), (S2, O1, T2), (S3, O1, T1), (S4, O1, T1) ...

(S1, O1, T2), (S2, O1, T1), (S3, O1, T2), (S4, O1, T2) ...

O.1.1.2.2

O.1.1.2.1 + (S1, O2, T1), (S2, O2, T1), (S3, O2, T2), (S4, O2, T1) ...

(S1, O2, T2), (S2, O2, T2), (S3, O2, T1), (S4, O2, T2) ...

... O3

O	O _k	
	T1	T2
A(S _i)		

Pair comparison (with replications)

(S1, O1, T1), (S1, O1, T2), (S2, O2, T2), (S2, O2, T1) ... (S5, O5, T2)

In order to avoid the influence of which treatment should be started with first, we assign treatments to (a half of the) subjects in a random way.

O	O ₁	SPARE		O ₃	O ₄	SPARE	
T(S ₁)	T ₁ T ₂			T ₂ T ₁	T ₂ T ₁		
T(S ₂)	T ₂ T ₁			T ₁ T ₂	T ₁ T ₂		

One factor

More than two treatments

Completely randomized design

Completely randomized design with
3 treatments, (3+7) subjects, 1 object

O ₁	S	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	S ₅	S ₆	S ₇	S ₈	S ₉	S ₁₀
	T	T2	T3	T1	T1	T2	T3	T3	T2	T1	T2

Randomized complete block design

3 treatments, (3+3) subjects, 1 object

O₁

S	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	S ₅	S ₆
1 st T	T1	T3	T2	T2	T3	T1
2 nd T	T3	T1	T3	T1	T2	T2
3 rd T	T2	T2	T1	T3	T1	T3

EXPERIENCING WITH MULTIPLE SOURCES OF DESIRED VARIATION: (Multiple Design-Factors)

→ 24

Multiple Design-Factors

Hypotheses should consider not only the effect $\varepsilon_{i,j}$ of each treatment $F_i(j)$ on factor F_i , but also the effect of **interaction** between $\varepsilon_{i,j}$ and $\varepsilon_{e,f}$

DESIGN WITH ONE VARIATION AT A TIME

Number of experiments, N , when the best out of n_i treatments of the i^{th} factor is selected for evaluating the $(i+1)^{\text{th}}$ factor.

$$N = 1 + \sum_{i=1, \dots, K} (n_i - 1)$$

FACTORIAL DESIGN

STUDYING INTERACTIONS

Number of experiments with K factors, the i^{th} with n_i treatments

$$N = \prod_{i=1, \dots, K} n_i$$

FACTORIAL DESIGN

K factors each with

2 Treatments

2x2 FACTORIAL DESIGN

Both factors have two treatments, and every combinations of the treatments are possible

F2 \ F1	a	b
	F1	
A	S ₄	S ₁
B	S ₂	S ₃

2^3 FACTORIAL DESIGN

F1	F2	F3	S
a	A	α	S2
b	A	α	S1
a	B	α	S8
b	B	α	S6
a	A	β	S5
b	A	β	S3
a	B	β	S7
b	B	β	S4

NESTED DESIGN

What to do when factorial treatments are not comparable

Factor A			
Treatment A1		Treatment A2	
Factor B		Factor B	
Treatment B1.A1	Treatment B2.A1	Treatment B1.A2	Treatment B2.A2
S_1	S_2	S_3	S_4

2³ FACTORIAL DESIGN

How to reduce the number of experiments

One-half of fractional design of 2³ FACTORIAL DESIGN

F1	F2	F3	S
b	A	α	S8
a	B	α	S6
a	A	$\alpha\beta$	S5
b	B	$\alpha\beta$	S4

Avoiding variations of no interest: **BLOCK DESIGN**

**Have the uninteresting factor
to influence equally all treatments.**

COMPLETE BLOCK DESIGN

Apply each design factor treatment with each alternative of the undesired variables

Block design with a **single undesired variable**

Apply each design factor treatment with each
alternative of the blocking variable

2 alternatives for the undesired factor

1 interesting factor (I), 2 treatments (T1, T2)

Interesting factor (I)

Undesired factor (U)

U _{A1}	I _{T1}	I _{T2}
U _{A2}	I _{T2}	I _{T1}

In order to have the uninteresting factor to influence equally all treatments, 4 experiments are needed at least, i.e. 2 times the size of the factorial experiment with 1 interesting factor and 2 levels (1x2).

2 alternatives for the undesired factor

1 interesting factor, 3 treatments

Interesting factor

Undesired factor

U_{A1}	I_{T1}	I_{T2}	I_{T3}
U_{A2}	I_{T3}	I_{T2}	I_{T1}

6 experiments at least

Apply at random each design factor treatment
with each alternative of the blocking variable

Apply at random each design factor treatment
with each alternative of the blocking variable

4 alternatives for the undesired factor

e.g. Team
(experience)

1 interesting factor, 4 treatments (A, B, C, D)

Block size

U_{A1}	C	B	A	D
U_{A2}	A	B	D	C
U_{A3}	B	C	D	A
U_{A4}	A	D	C	B

Block

16 experiments at least, i.e. 4 times the factorial experiments (1x4)

4 alternatives for the **undesired factor** (e.g. team exp. $T_{i=1..4}$)

1 interesting factor, **3 treatments** (A, B, C)

U_{A1}	C	B	A
U_{A2}	A	B	C
U_{A3}	B	C	A
U_{A4}	A	C	B

12 experiments at least

Apply at random each design factor treatment
with each alternative of the blocking variable

**Reduce the complexity of the experiment
but have the uninteresting factor
to influence equally all treatments.**

**Block design with
two sources of undesired variability**

4 alternatives for each of the 2 undesired factors

1 interesting factor, 4 treatments (A, B, C, D)

Each interesting treatment 1 time
both per row and column

U2 \ U1	VS	S	L	VL
U1.1	A	B	C	D
U1.2	D	A	B	C
U1.3	C	D	A	B
U1.4	B	C	D	A

e.g.
Project
size

16 experiments at least

LATIN SQUARE

Block design with three or more sources of undesired variability

3 undesired factors; 4 alternatives for each of such factors

1 interesting factor, 4 treatments ($\alpha, \beta, \chi, \delta$)

**Each interesting treatment 1 time
both per row and column**

U1 \ U2	VS	S	L	VL
U1.1	A α	B β	C χ	D δ
U1.2	D δ	A α	B β	C χ
U1.3	C χ	D δ	A α	B β
U1.4	B β	C χ	D δ	A α

U3

U3: {A, B, C, D}

GRECO-LATIN SQUARE

4 undesired factors; 4 alternatives for each of such factors

1 interesting factor, 4 treatments ($\alpha, \beta, \chi, \delta$)

$U1 \backslash U2$	VS	S	L	VL
T1	aA α	bB β	cC χ	dD δ
T2	dD δ	aA α	bB β	cC χ
T3	cC χ	dD δ	aA α	bB β
T4	bB β	cC χ	dD δ	aA α

?

U3U4

4 alternatives for each of the undesired # 4 factors

1 interesting factor, 4 treatments ($\alpha, \beta, \chi, \delta$)

U1 \ U2	VS	S	L	VL
U1 ₁	aA α	bB β	cC χ	dD δ
U1 ₂	bC δ	aD α	dA β	cB χ
U1 ₃	cD χ	dC δ	aB α	bA β
U1 ₄	bD β	cA χ	bD δ	aC α

U3U4

?

4 alternatives for each of the undesired # 4 factors

1 interesting factor, 4 treatments ($\alpha, \beta, \chi, \delta$)

Each treatment & each alternative 1 time both per row and column, and each & couple of alternatives 1 time

U1 \ U2	VS	S	L	VL
U1 ₁	$X_1Y_1\alpha$	$X_2Y_2\beta$	$X_3Y_3\chi$	$X_4Y_4\delta$
U1 ₂	$X_2Y_3\delta$	$X_1Y_4\alpha$	$X_4Y_1\beta$	$X_3Y_2\chi$
U1 ₃	$X_3Y_4\chi$	$X_4Y_3\delta$	$X_1Y_2\alpha$	$X_2Y_1\beta$
U1 ₄	$X_2Y_4\beta$	$X_3Y_1\chi$	$X_2Y_4\delta$	$X_1Y_3\alpha$

U3U4

HYPER-GRECO-LATIN SQUARE

Incomplete block design

4 treatments for the desired factor and 1 blocking variable

Factor Block	A	B	C	D
1	X	X	X	
2	X	X		X
3	X		X	X
4		X	X	X

4 blocks, 12 experiments: each pair of treatments occur the same number of times in the blocks.